To: IPCC Chair, Dr. Hoesung Lee

IPCC Bureau

Re: Conflicts of interest of authors on the IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels

April 27, 2017

Dear IPCC Chair and IPCC Bureau Members,

We, the undersigned organizations, are active in the international climate negotiations and climate discussions at national, regional and/or international levels. We are writing to express our deepest concern that senior employees of two major oil companies (ExxonMobil and Saudi Aramco) are included among the authors for the IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development and efforts to eradicate poverty.

As you well know, the role of the IPCC, as stated in paragraph 2 of the Principles Governing IPCC Work, is to assess, on a comprehensive, objective, open and transparent basis, the scientific, technical and socio-economic information relevant to understanding the scientific basis of risk of human-induced climate change, its potential impacts, and options for adaptation and mitigation. Despite the important work the IPCC does to inform policy makers of the now-overwhelming scientific evidence of human-induced climate change, the climate crisis is deepening.

The delayed and inadequate responses to this crisis can be attributed in part to lobbying by businesses with vested interests in the fossil-fuel-driven economy. Disturbingly, the role of business has gone beyond mere lobbying: to delay urgently-needed climate action, several companies have financed climate change skepticism and denial. This is well documented and the subject of multiple judicial investigations.

The two aforementioned companies are the second- and third-largest corporate emitters of greenhouse gases worldwide. They bear a large part of the responsibility for causing climate change, along with 88 other major oil, energy and cement companies, which together are responsible for two thirds of the cumulative historical CO₂ and CH₄ emissions since 1854. Moreover, the Exxon staff member selected, Dr. Haroon S. Kheshgi, has been a leading proponent of controversial climate geoengineering proposals since 1995, including carbon capture and storage (CCS) strategies. Exxon holds the most patents related to CCS and other sequestration methods of any company, and on its website, publicly declares an interest in one quarter of existing global CCS facilities. This creates a strong financial interest for this author to favourably promote certain technological pathways to 1.5°C.

¹ Richard Heede, "Tracing anthropogenic carbon dioxide and methane emissions to fossil fuel and cement producers, 1854–2010," *Climatic Change*, November 2013. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10584-013-0986-y

In 2010, the integrity and credibility of the IPCC was called into question, resulting in a thorough review by the InterAcademy Council. As a response, IPCC adopted, in its own words, "a rigorous conflict of interest policy" with the aim "to protect the legitimacy, integrity, trust, and credibility of the IPCC." It is evident that conflicts of interest exist or can be implied in this case. This would constitute a violation of your conflict of interest policy.

The IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels was requested by the UNFCCC to address the particular concern of countries already impacted by global warming such as the small island states. The lives of peoples and in some cases the future existence of these states, depends upon drastic reductions in global emissions, including specifically a shift away from fossil fuel dependency.

It is therefore difficult to understand that the IPCC could include authors from the industries that have caused the most damage, and for whom private profits would be affected if the necessary reductions are carried out. Similarly, it is also worrying that industry representatives are precisely those with interests in promoting unacceptable pathways and high-risk technologies, such as climate geoengineering, which distract from the real emissions reductions that are required to avoid catastrophic warming.

Furthermore, in the list of authors to this Special Report there are other representatives of industry-sponsored associations and institutions, yet there are no researchers from independent NGOs selected for participation, despite several nominations. This is a crucial report that must maintain high standards of scientific independence. The world cannot afford for this report to be converted into an alibi for the industries that caused climate change to continue business as usual, or a platform to promote high-risk technologies such as geoengineering.

The IPCC needs to maintain a broad and balanced approach to highlighting the necessary conditions to enhance real pathways to stay below 1.5°C, including: peasant and agroecological food systems; public transportation; ecologically-sound and locally-controlled renewable energy sources; and ecosystem protection and restoration, among many other proven and effective solutions. We therefore request the IPCC to reconsider the selection of authors, both for this and all upcoming reports, to ensure that no conflict of interest exists, and that multiple disciplines, regions and viewpoints are included.

Sincerely,

11.11.11 Asia/ international 350.org International Acción Ecológica Ecuador Acción por la Biodiversidad, Argentina Argentina Aclimatando - Movimiento de Jóvenes Frente al Cambio Climático, Argentina Argentina Action 24 International ActionAid International Advocacy, Research, Training and Services (ARTS) Foundation Pakistan

Amigos de la Tierra de América Latina y el Caribe - ATALC

Latin America

/International

DUII :

Bangladesh

India

Aniban Ng Manggagawa Sa Agrikultura (AMA)

Philippines

ARA

Germany

Asia Pacific Forum on Women, Law and Development (APWLD)

Asia/ International

Asia-Pacific Network for Food Sovereignty (APNFS) Philippines

Asian Peoples Movement on Debt and Development (APMDD)

Asia/ International

Asociación Red de Coordinación en Biodiversidad, Costa Rica

Association des Femmes Peules et Peuples Autochtones du Tchad (AFPAT)

Tchad

Association for promotion sustainable development. Hisar. India India

Bangladesh NGOs Network for Radio and Communication (MNNRC)

Beyond Copenhagen Collective Asia/ International

Beyond Copenhagen, India

Bharat Jan Vigyan Jatha - India People's Science Campaign

India

Biofuelwatch International

Bolivian Platform on Climate Change

BUND - Friends of the Earth Germany

Campaign for a Life of Dignity (KAMP)

CCN Nigeria

Bolivia

Germany

Philippines

CECOEDECON (Centre for Community Economics and Development Consultants

Society)

CENSAT, Colombia Colombia
Center for Environmental Concerns (CEC) Philippines

Center for International Environmental Law (CIEL)

Centre for 21st Century Issues (C21st),

Centre for Human Rights and Development

CESTA Friends of the Earth El Salvador

Chulachuli UNESCO Club-Kathmandu

USA

Nigeria

Mongolia

El Salvador

Nepal

Climate Justice Programme Australia

CNCD Belgium

Coast/ Equity Bangladesh

Community Initiatives for Development in Pakistan (CIDP) Pakistan

Community Science CenterIndiaComorian Platform for Climate and Sustainable DevelopmentComorosConsumers Association of Penang - FoE MalaysiaMalaysiaCorporate Accountability InternationalInternational

Corporate Europe Observatory Europe/

International

Ecological Society of the Philippines Philippines

Ecologistas en Acción Spain
Empower India India
Empower Sankhar India

Energy Watch Group Germany

ETC group International

Federation of Community Forestry Users Nepal (FECOFUN)

Repal

Re

Fern International

Focus on the Global South Asia/ International

Food & Water Europe Europe/
International

Food & Water Watch International

Friends of the Earth Australia Australia

Friends of the Earth England, Wales and Northern Ireland UK

Friends of the Earth International International

Friends of the Earth US US

Friends of the Siberian Forests, Russia Russia

Gitib Inc. Philippines

Global Forest Coalition

Clobal International

Global Justice Ecology Project

North America/
International

Global Witness UK

Greenpeace International International Health of Mother Earth Foundation (HOMEF), Nigeria Nigeria

Heinrich Boell Foundation Germany

Heinrich Boell Foundation North America

Huvadhoo Aid Maldives

India Climate JusticeIndiaIT for ChangeIndiaKirat Kulung Mimchha GuskhamNepal

Leave it in the Ground Initiative (LINGO)

International

Nepal

Mattole Salmon Group USA

Kirat Welfare Society

Misereor Germany

Mom Loves Taiwan AssociationTaiwanMovimiento Ciudadano frente al Cambio ClimáticoPeruNepal Kirat Kulung Bhasa Sanskrit Utthan SanghNepalObservatorio Petrolero SurArgentina

Oil Change International USA

Oilwatch Latinoamérica Latin America/

International

Our rivers, Our life (OROL) Philippines

PAIRVI (Public Advocacy Initiatives for Rights and Values In India) India

Partnership for Clean Air (PCA) Inc. Philippines

Philippine Movement for Climate Justice (PMCJ) Philippines

Plataforma de Jóvenes Climaticos, Ecuador Ecuador

Positive Change for Cambodia Cambodia

Reacción Climática - Bolivia Bolivia

Roots for Equity Pakistan

Salvadorean Ecological Unit (UNES) El Salvador

SANLAKAS Philippines

School of Democratic Economics Indonesia

SONIA for a Just New World Italy

South Asian Dialogues on Ecological Democracy India

Sustainable Development Foundation Thailand

The Corner House UK

The Council of Canadians Canada

Third World Network International

TierrActiva

Transparency International-Korea (TI-Korea) South Korea

UDYAMA Pakistan

Umweltinstitut München e.V. Germany

World Rainforest Movement International

Youth Advocacy Network Sri Lanka

Youth Advocacy Network, Sri Lanka Sri Lanka

Youth for Climate Justice (Y4CJ) Philippines